

Mirostaw Pawlak

**ERROR CORRECTION
IN THE FOREIGN LANGUAGE CLASSROOM
Reconsidering the issues**

POZNAŃ – KALISZ – KONIN 2012

Reviewer: Anna Nizegorodcew

© Copyright by Mirosław Pawlak

Typesetting: Piotr Bajak

Cover design: Joanna Dudek

Proofreading: Jakub Bielak, Anna Mystkowska-Wiertelak

ISBN: 978-83-62135-73-8

Published by:

Wydział Pedagogiczno-Artystyczny UAM w Kaliszu

Uniwersytet im. Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu

62-800 Kalisz, ul. Nowy Świat 28-30

tel. (062) 7670730

fax (062) 7645721

and

Wydawnictwo Państwowej Wyższej Szkoły Zawodowej w Koninie

ul. Kardynała S. Wyszyńskiego 3, 62-510 Konin, pok. 28

tel. (063) 2497208

Printing and binding: Sowa – druk na życzenie, ul. Hrubieszowska 6a, 01-209 Warszawa

Table of contents

INTRODUCTION	7
--------------------	---

Chapter One

Error correction as an option in form-focused instruction

INTRODUCTION.....	11
1.1. DEFINITIONS, SCOPE AND TERMINOLOGY	13
1.2. THE PLACE OF ERROR CORRECTION IN THE FOREIGN LANGUAGE CLASSROOM ...	17
1.3. CHANGING PERSPECTIVES ON THE ROLE OF ERROR CORRECTION	20
1.4. EXPLICIT AND IMPLICIT LEARNING, KNOWLEDGE AND INSTRUCTION	25
1.5. CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK IN FRAMEWORKS OF FORM-FOCUSED INSTRUCTION..	34
1.5.1. CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK AND FOCUS ON FORM	39
1.5.2. CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK AND FOCUS ON FORMS.....	45
CONCLUSION	52

Chapter Two

Perspectives on error correction

INTRODUCTION.....	55
2.1. REQUIREMENTS FOR SUCCESSFUL SECOND AND FOREIGN LANGUAGE LEARNING	57
2.2. RESERVATIONS ABOUT THE UTILITY OF ORAL AND WRITTEN CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK.....	61
2.3. RATIONALE FOR THE PROVISION OF CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK.....	70

2.3.1. THEORETICAL SUPPORT	71
2.3.1.1. <i>Noticing Hypothesis</i>	73
2.3.1.2. <i>Interaction Hypothesis and Output Hypothesis</i>	75
2.3.1.3. <i>Counterbalance Hypothesis</i>	79
2.3.1.4. <i>Relevance Theory</i>	81
2.3.1.5. <i>Skill-Learning Theory</i>	83
2.3.1.6. <i>Connectionism</i>	86
2.3.1.7. <i>Sociocultural Theory</i>	89
2.3.1.8. <i>Delayed-Effect Hypothesis</i>	92
2.3.2. EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE	93
2.3.2.1. <i>Research into form-focused instruction</i>	95
2.3.2.2. <i>Early studies of error correction</i>	98
2.3.2.3. <i>Recent studies of error correction</i>	99
2.3.2.4. <i>Review papers and research syntheses and meta-analyses</i>	101
2.3.2.5. <i>Learners' and teachers' beliefs</i>	108
2.3.3. PEDAGOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS	113
CONCLUSION	117

Chapter Three

Pedagogical choices in error correction

INTRODUCTION	121
3.1. ERROR CORRECTION AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF SECOND LANGUAGE KNOWLEDGE	123
3.2. COMPARISON OF ORAL AND WRITTEN CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK	130
3.3. ERROR CORRECTION, SYLLABUS DESIGN AND LESSON PLANNING	137
3.4. OPTIONS IN PROVIDING CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK	144
3.4.1. SHOULD LEARNER ERRORS BE CORRECTED?	151
3.4.2. WHEN SHOULD LEARNER ERRORS BE CORRECTED?	156
3.4.3. WHICH LEARNER ERRORS SHOULD BE CORRECTED?	162
3.4.4. HOW SHOULD LEARNER ERRORS BE CORRECTED?	167
3.4.4.1. <i>Types of oral corrective feedback</i>	168
3.4.4.2. <i>Types of written corrective feedback</i>	189
3.4.5. WHO SHOULD CORRECT LEARNER ERRORS?	195

3.5. COMPUTER-MEDIATED ERROR CORRECTION	201
CONCLUSION	205

Chapter Four

Research on error correction

INTRODUCTION.....	209
4.1. A TENTATIVE FRAMEWORK FOR INVESTIGATING ERROR CORRECTION....	211
4.1.1. TYPES OF CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK	213
4.1.2. MEDIATING VARIABLES	214
4.1.3. LEARNER ENGAGEMENT	217
4.1.4. LEARNING OUTCOMES	219
4.2. RESEARCH INTO ORAL ERROR CORRECTION	221
4.2.1. ISSUES IN RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	223
4.2.1.1. <i>Descriptive studies</i>	223
4.2.1.2. <i>Descriptive studies including individualized posttests</i>	227
4.2.1.3. <i>Experimental studies</i>	231
4.2.1.4. <i>Research into mediating variables</i>	236
4.2.1.5. <i>Research into learner engagement</i>	241
4.2.1.6. <i>Evaluation and recommendations</i>	244
4.2.2. THE EFFECTIVENESS OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF ORAL CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK	246
4.2.2.1. <i>Findings of descriptive studies</i>	246
4.2.2.2. <i>Findings of research into recasts</i>	254
4.2.2.3. <i>Findings of research into the effectiveness of feedback strategies</i>	266
4.2.2.4. <i>Reflections</i>	277
4.2.3. THE IMPACT OF MEDIATING VARIABLES	279
4.2.4. THE NATURE OF LEARNER ENGAGEMENT	286
4.3. RESEARCH INTO WRITTEN ERROR CORRECTION	289
4.3.1. ISSUES IN RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	291
4.3.1.1. <i>Revision studies</i>	292
4.3.1.2. <i>Experimental studies</i>	293
4.3.1.3. <i>Reformulation studies</i>	294
4.3.1.4. <i>Research into mediating variables</i>	296
4.3.1.5. <i>Research into learner engagement</i>	297

4.3.1.6. <i>Evaluation and recommendations</i>	299
4.3.2. THE EFFECTIVENESS OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF WRITTEN CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK	301
4.3.2.1. <i>Findings of revision studies</i>	302
4.3.2.2. <i>Findings of experimental studies</i>	303
4.3.2.3. <i>Findings of reformulation studies</i>	306
4.3.2.4. <i>Reflections</i>	307
4.3.3. THE IMPACT OF MEDIATING VARIABLES	308
4.3.4. THE NATURE OF LEARNER ENGAGEMENT	311
CONCLUSION	312
CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS, FUTURE DIRECTIONS	315
REFERENCES	325
INDEX	363